# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,342	C	14/27/2001	Elliott Woodard Harris	AUS920010295US1 9373	
35525	7590	07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORP (YA) C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC				LUDWIG, MATTHEW J	
P.O. BOX		TES FC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75380			•	2178	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



\*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/844,342	HARRIS, ELLIOTT WOODARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J. Ludwig	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 April 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	-, , -					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of t	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	e e					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications. Application filed 04/27/01.

2. Claims 1-16 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 7, and 13, are independent claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In reference to independent claims 1, 7, and 13, the claim recites the phrase, "wherein the second electronic document contains a ling description of the image in the first document". It is unclear to the Examiner (as presently claimed) what type of attribute is being examined and if the long description is within the first document or the second document. Furthermore, the limitation, "wherein the subtree is adjacent to the image in the document object model", does not provide a proficient description of what is meant by the term adjacent and does not provide a proficient explanation as to how the subtree presents a renderable hyperlink. Finally, the claim recite the phrase, "rendering at least one of the following: the image and/or the hyperlink". It is unclear to the Examiner if the hyperlink described in the last line of the claim is the same hyperlink described as being rendered by the subtree.

In reference to dependent claims 2-6, 8-12, and 14-16, the claims are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their respective base claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,342

Art Unit: 2178

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mackenty et al., USPN 6,085,161 filed (3/23/99) in view of HTML 4.01 Specification, W3C Recommendation 24 December 1999.

#### In reference to independent claim 1, Mackenty teaches:

The present invention works with a browser utility, that is, an application for visually displaying HTML documents, to present HTML documents to computer users auditorially, instead of visually. It parses HTML documents, associates the markup and content with various elements of an auditory display. The parser receives an HTML page and outputs a tree data structure that represents the received HTML page (compare to "parsing a first electronic document and creating a document object model"). See column 1, lines 60-67, column 4, lines 50-65.

The HTML document is read by performing a depth-first traversal of the parsed HTML document tree. As each node of the tree is entered, the reader examines its type. If the node is an HTML tag, then the element name, or label, of that tag is enqueued within the sonification engine, so that it will be represented by the sound associated with that tag during initialization (compare to "determining if an image within the first electronic document contains an attribute that names a URL address for a second electronic document"...). See column 5, lines

Art Unit: 2178

7-30. The reference does not explicitly state a long description; however, the HTML 4.01 Specification the utilization of the long description attribute for allowing authors to make frame documents more accessible to people using non-visual user agents. The frames method provides a technique for providing a long description within two frames which also includes an image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Mackenty and HTML Spec 4.01 before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the DOM taught by Mackenty to include the long description attribute and provide for a newly formed subtree within the DOM and allowing for the sonification engine to perform a tag initialization.

### In reference to dependent claim 2, 4, Mackenty teaches:

The device instructs the sonification engine to produce, alter or halt sound data when encountering an HTML tag depending on the type of HTML tag. See column 4, lines 25-30. The reference does not explicitly state a long description; however, the HTML 4.01 Specification the utilization of the long description attribute for allowing authors to make frame documents more accessible to people using non-visual user agents. The frames method provides a technique for providing a long description within two frames which also includes an image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Mackenty and HTML Spec 4.01 before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the DOM taught by Mackenty to include the long description attribute and provide for a newly formed subtree within the DOM and allowing for the sonification engine to perform a tag initialization.

# In reference to dependent claim 3, 5, MacKenty teaches:

Once connected, the sonification engine's initialization function is invoked, which causes the engine to allocate the resources it requires to perform its functions. This usually consists of the

allocation of an audio output device and, in some embodiments, an audio mixer. See column 3, lines 60-67. The reference does not explicitly state a long description; however, the HTML 4.01 Specification the utilization of the long description attribute for allowing authors to make frame documents more accessible to people using non-visual user agents. The frames method provides a technique for providing a long description within two frames which also includes an image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Mackenty and HTML Spec 4.01 before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the DOM taught by Mackenty to include the long description attribute and provide for a newly formed subtree within the DOM and allowing for the sonification engine to perform a tag initialization.

## In reference to dependent claim 6, MacKenty teaches:

Each marker contains a unique identifier, which is associated with the position of the enqueue cursor at the time that marker was enqueued. As the synthesizer reads the text enqueued in it, it notifies the Reader as it encounters the markers enqueued along with the text. See column 6, lines 1-19.

In reference to claims 7-12, the limitations reflect the system comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in 1-6, respectively, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

In reference to claims 13-16, the limitations reflect the system used for performing the methods as claimed in 1-6, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,342

Art Unit: 2178

Jennings

USPN 6,717,593

filed (9/12/00)

Brown et al.,

USPN 6,587,822

filed (10/6/98)

HTML 4.01 Specification, W3C Recommendation 24 December 1999, Dave Ragget, pages 1-15.

Frames in HTML Documents, W3C Recommendation 24 December 1999, Dave Ragget, pages 1-15.

Jane Berliss, Designing World Wide Web Pages For Maximum Accessibility, November 22, 1997, pages 1-6.

SPUSC Monash 2000, 'See me, Hear me, Touch me', Access and Equity on the Web, pages 1-18.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Ludwig whose telephone number is 703-305-8043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 703-308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2178

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML July 21, 2004

STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER